Employing the tool USE for Model-Based Engineering

Martin Gogolla University of Bremen, Germany Database Systems Group

Outline

- View on modeling
- USE features
- Classifying terms
- Transformation models
- Filmstrip models

Outline

- View on modeling
- USE features
- Classifying terms
- Transformation models
- Filmstrip models

A View on Modeling

Why do engineers build models?

- To understand ... problems and solutions Models as "Means for Knowledge Acquisition"
- To communicate ... model and design intent Models as "Means for Knowledge Transfer"
- To predict ... interesting characteristics of the system under study Models as "Surrogates"
- To specify ... the implementation of the system under study Models as "Blueprints"

Building models is realized by selecting statements through abstraction, i.e., reduction of information preserving properties relative to a given set of concerns

From: Bran Selic, UML2 Tutorial @ MoDELS 2012

System under study

Abstraction

Statements (Models)





Outline

- View on modeling
- USE features
- Classifying terms
- Transformation models
- Filmstrip models

(Textual) Modeling with UML and OCL within USE (UML-based Specification Environment)

- Class diagrams (classes, associations, generalization, abstract classes, association clases, aggregation, composition, ...)
- Protocol State Machines (states and guarded transitions)
- Support for full OCL with all collection kinds (sets, bags, sequences, ordered sets) and operations (forAll, select, collect, iterate, closure, ...)
- Central assurance technique: Expressing scenarios (test cases); UML object and sequence diagrams
- Employing OCL for ad-hoc queries, class invariants, operation pre- and postconditions, operation definitions (for side-effect free operations), state invariants, transition pre- and postconditions
- Employing SOIL (Simple Ocl-like Imperative Language) for realizing operations manipulating the system state
- Validation: Are we building the right product? Verification: Are we building the product right?

Running Example



Person::friends():Set(Person)=invitee->union(inviter)

```
context Person inv asymmetricFriendship:
invitee->intersection(inviter)->isEmpty()
```

```
context Commenting inv commentOnlyByFriends:
    commented.poster.friends()->includes(commenter)
```

Running Example in Textual Form

model SocialNetwork

```
class Person
operations
 friends():Set(Person)=invitee->union(inviter)
end
association Friendship between
 Person [*] role inviter
 Person [*] role invitee
end
class Post
end
composition PosterPosting between
 Person [1] role poster
 Post [*] role posting
end
associationclass Commenting between
 Person [*] role commenter
 Post [*] role commented
end
context Person inv asymmetricFriendship:
  invitee->intersection(inviter)->isEmpty()
context Commenting inv commentOnlyByFriends:
  commented.poster.friends()->includes(commenter)
```

















Constructing a System State with the Model Validator

- Object diagram (system state) automatically constructed by (so-called) Model Validator (MV)
- Based on a transformation of UML and OCL models into the relational logic of Kodkod/Alloy
- Configuration determines
 - Finite sets of data types values
 - Finite sets for population of classes and associations
 - Finite sets for attribute values
- (a) Construct single object diagram
 (b) Enumerate all object diagrams determined by configuration
- Various use cases
- Enumerations of all object diagrams guided by (so-called) classifying terms (OCL queries) in order to achieve few interesting, diverse object diagrams

Violating the Invariants



Reasoning about the model

- Can I comment my own postings?
- Can all classes be instantiated?
 Can all classes and associations be instantiated?
- Are the invariants independent from each other?
 Independent: neither (invA |= invB) nor (invB |= invA) holds
- Can I invite myself to be my own friend?
- Can invariant asymetricFriendship be equivalently expressed as context p1,p2:Person inv: not (p1.invitee->includes(p2) implies p2.invitee->includes(p1))
- Is this equivalent to:
 ... p1.inviter->includes(p2) implies p2.inviter->includes(p1) ...
- Can I comment a posting twice?
- Is the model correct in the sense that
 (a) a stated (partial) object diagram set is accepted as valid and
 (b) another stated (partial) object diagram set is considered invalid?

Model Validator Use Cases



Sequence Diagram @ USE



Communication Diagram @ USE



Protocol State Machine @ USE



Outline

- View on modeling
- USE features
- Classifying terms
- Transformation models
- Filmstrip models

Multiple object diagram solutions employing one classifying term



- Person.allInstances->size

Multiple solutions with multiple classifying terms



Classifying terms

let selfSeekerExists= -- in German 'Egoist'
Person.allInstances->exists(p | p.knower=Set{p} and p.known=Set{p})
in selfSeekerExists

let knowItAllExists= -- in German 'Alleswisser'
Person.allInstances->exists(p | p.knower=Person.allInstances)
in knowItAllExists

let paintedDogExists= -- in German 'bunter Hund'
 Person.allInstances->exists(p | p.known=Person.allInstances)
 in paintedDogExists

Multiple solutions with multiple classifying terms



Outline

- View on modeling
- USE features
- Classifying terms
- Transformation models
- Filmstrip models

Transformation between ER schemas and relational DB schemas

- Metamodel for Entity-Relationship (ER) and for relational datamodel
- Transformation model with single transformation class and invariants
- 23 OCL invariants, partly complex structured
- Verification task: Show transformation model consistency, i.e., automatically construct an example transformation that utilizes all concepts (classes and associations) from the metamodels

Constructed ER Diagram and Equivalent ReIDB Schema



create table D(
H HF primary key)
create table KH(
EH HF,
JH HF,
A CF,
primary key(EH,JH))

ER, ReIDB and Transformation Metamodel



Metamodel Constraints

Class invariants		
Invariant		Result
Base_Attribute::linkedToOneOfEntityRelshipRel	Schema	true
Base_DataType::uniqueDataTypeNames		true
Er2Rel_Trans::forEntityExistsOneRelSchema		true
Er2Rel_Trans::forRelSchemaExistsOneEntityXo	rRelship	true
Er2Rel_Trans::forRelshipExistsOneRelSchema		true
ErSyn_Entity::differentOsRelendAndAttributeNa	amesWithinEntity	true
ErSyn_Entity::entityKeyNotEmpty		true
ErSyn_Entity::uniqueAttributeNamesWithinEntity	1	true
ErSyn_Entity::uniqueOsRelendNamesWithinEntit	ły	true
ErSyn_ErSchema::differentEntityAndRelshipNar	mesWithinErSchema	true
ErSyn_ErSchema::uniqueEntityNamesWithinErS	chema	true
ErSyn_ErSchema::uniqueErSchemaNames		true
ErSyn_ErSchema::uniqueRelshipNamesWithinErSchema		true
ErSyn_Relend::c_Relend_Entity_Relship_ErSchema		true
ErSyn_Relend::relendNameNotZero		true
ErSyn_Relship::differentRelendAndAttributeNar	mesWithinRelship	true
ErSyn_Relship::relshipKeyEmpty		true
ErSyn_Relship::uniqueAttributeNamesWithinRelship		true
ErSyn_Relship::uniqueRelendNamesWithinRelship		true
RelSyn_RelDBSchema::uniqueRelDBSchemaNames		true
RelSyn_RelDBSchema::uniqueRelSchemaNamesWithinRelDBSchema		true
RelSyn_RelSchema::relSchemaKeyNotEmpty		true
RelSyn_RelSchema::uniqueAttributeNamesWithinRelSchema		true
Constraints ok. (31ms)	100%	

Non-trivial Example Constraint (6 Quantifier Nesting Levels)

```
context self:Er2Rel Trans
  inv forRelSchemaExistsOneEntityXorRelship:
  self.relDBSchema.relSchema->forAll(rl |
    self.erSchema.entity->one(e |
      rl.name=e.name and
      rl.attribute->forAll(ra |
        e.attribute->one(ea |
          ra.name=ea.name and ea.dataType=ra.dataType and
          ra.isKey=ea.isKey)))
    xor
    self.erSchema.relship->one(rs |
      rl.name=rs.name and
      rl.attribute->forAll(ra |
        rs.relend->one(re |
          re.entity.key()->one(rek |
            ra.name=re.name.concat(' ').concat(rek.name) and
            ra.dataType=rek.dataType and ra.isKey))
        xor
        rs.attribute->one(rsa |
          ra.name=rsa.name and ra.dataType=rsa.dataType and
          ra.isKey=false))))
```

Example Model Validator Configuration

Er2Rel_Trans : 11	. 1 1	
Er2Rel_OwnershipTransRelDBSchema : 11 Er2Rel_OwnershipTransRelDBSchema : 11		
ErSyn_ErSchema : 11 ErSyn_Entity : 19 ErSyn_Relship : 19 ErSyn_Relend : 19	RelSyn_RelDBSchema : 11 RelSyn_RelSchema : 19	
ErSyn_OwnershipErSchemaEntity : 19 ErSyn_OwnershipErSchemaRelship : 19 ErSyn_OwnershipEntityAttribute : 19 ErSyn_OwnershipRelshipAttribute : 19 ErSyn_OwnershipRelshipRelend : 19 ErSyn_RelendTyping : 19	RelSyn_OwnershipRelDBSchemaRelSchema : 19 RelSyn_OwnershipRelSchemaAttribute : 19	
Base_Attribute : 19 Base_DataType : 19 Base_Named_name : Set{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,, 97,98,99} Base_Attribute_isKey : Set{false,true}		
Base_AttributeTyping : 19		
Real : 00 Real_step : 1 String : 00 Integer : 0127		

Class black-on-white Association black-on-light-grey



Outline

- View on modeling
- USE features
- Classifying terms
- Transformation models
- Filmstrip models

Filmstripping: Transforming pre- and postconditions into invariants





Filmstripping: Class model transformation USE plugin



Filmstripping: Configuration and classifying terms

	ThreeSeqDias (TSD)
Snapshot minmax	23
Filmstrip minmax	12
marry_PersonOpC minmax	02
divorce_PersonOpC minmax	02
Person minmax	412
SnapshotPerson minmax	412
PredSuccPerson minmax	28
Marriage minmax	0*

TSD1: "Person p is married and later married again, but to a different person."

Filmstrip object diagram VS Application sequence diagram



Summary

- Software development concentrating on models as first class citizens
- Successfully applied approach in larger German project: XGenerator @ Deutschland online
- UML (Class and Statechart diagrams) in combination with OCL (not mentioned yet the *darker side of the force*, namely class diagram features like subsets, redefines, union, association inheritance and association class inheritance)
- SOIL (Simple Ocl-like Imperative Language) for operation realization together model unit tests (Object and Sequence diagrams)
- Employ model validator for searching object diagram spaces
- Validation and verification
- Aim of modeling: Obtain trustworthy models

Perspective

- Support for model transformation: From descriptive transformations to prescriptive transformations; from platform-independent ones to platform-dependent ones; testing of transformations wrt contracts
- Technical extensions
 - statecharts features (adding change events and nested states)
 - synchronisation of seq. and com. diagram features
 - multilevel classifying terms
 - coverage of non-set collections in model validator
- Better support for filmstrip models and refinement models
- OCL extensions
 - Temporal logic for dynamic properties!
 - Deontic logic for obligations and permissions?
- Monitoring running applications (JVM, CRL, ...) with models

Thanks for your attention!