Metamodeling with Metamodels

Using

UML/MOF including OCL

Introducing Metamodels (Wikipedia)

- A metamodel is a model of a model
- An instantiation of metamodel gives a model
- Metamodeling is the process of generating such metamodels
- Metamodeling is the analysis, construction and development of the frames, rules, constraints, models and theories applicable and useful for modeling a predefined class of problems
- Metamodeling applies the notions of *meta-* and *modeling* in software engineering and systems engineering
- Metamodels are of many types and have diverse applications

Contributions of Object Management Group (OMG)

- In software engineering, the use of models is an alternative to more common code-based development techniques
- A model always conforms to a unique metamodel
- One of the currently most active branches of Model Driven Engineering is the approach named Model-Driven Architecture (MDA) proposed by OMG (Object Management Group)
- MDA utilizes the language Meta Object Facility (MOF) to write metamodels
- MOF roughly corresponds to the class diagram part of UML including OCL constraints
- Typical metamodels proposed by OMG are UML, OCL, SysML (Systems Modeling Language), or CWM (Common Warehouse Metamodel)
- Such languages can be defined as MOF metamodels, i.e., models formulated with MOF

Four Level OMG Model-Driven Architecture (MDA)

Layer	Description	Example
meta-metamodel M3 / MOF	The infrastructure for a metamodeling architecture. Defines the language for specifying metamodels.	MetaClass, MetaAttribute, MetaOperation
metamodel M2 / UML	An instance of a meta- metamodel. Defines the language for specifying a model.	Class, Attribute, Operation, Component
model M1 / User model	An instance of a metamodel. Defines a language to describe an information domain.	StockShare, askPrice, sellLimitOrder, StockQuoteServer
user objects (user data) M0	An instance of a model. Defines a specific information domain.	<acme_sw_share_98789>, 654.56, sell_limit_order, <stock_quote_svr_32123></stock_quote_svr_32123></acme_sw_share_98789>

Model-Driven Architecture (MDA) – Analogy Programming

Layer	Analogy Programming Languages	Example
meta-metamodel M3 / MOF	EBNF notation for context-free grammars (Extended Backus-Naur Form)	MetaClass, MetaAttribute, MetaOperation
metamodel M2 / UML	Syntax definition of one programming language with context-free grammar in EBNF notation, e.g. PASCAL or JAVA	Class, Attribute, Operation, Component
model M1 / User model	One specific JAVA program J	StockShare, askPrice, sellLimitOrder, StockQuoteServer
user objects (user data) M0	One execution of JAVA program J	<acme_sw_share_98789>, 654.56, sell_limit_order, <stock_quote_svr_32123></stock_quote_svr_32123></acme_sw_share_98789>

Four Level OMG Model-Driven Architecture (MDA)



Class diagram understood as MM (MetaModel) object diagram

- Usual work with class diagrams
 - define first the class diagram
 - develop then various object diagrams
 - tune the class diagram to meet developer needs
- Approach within metamodeling

consider the concepts appearing in a class diagram (class, attribute, association, ...)

describe these concepts and their relationships again with a class diagram

if class diagrams are a powerful mechanism, why should one not describe class diagrams with class diagrams

Class diagram understood as MM (MetaModel) object diagram



MM Extension: Generalization, Association class

- First MM (4 classes) described classes, attributes, associations, and associations ends
- Consider now also further concepts: generalization between classes and association classes
- Apply invariants in order to achieve only valid class diagrams
- Attribute names within a class are unique
- Attribute name and association end names are different
- Generalization hierarchies are acyclic
- Optional: Exclude multiple inheritance
- Overall result: CD plus the stated invariants determine a set of valid objects diagrams; this set of object diagrams builds the defined (modeling) language
- THUS: Metamodeling is an approach for language development

MM Extension: Generalization, Association class



Proper UML 2.4 Metamodel (more complicated)

- UML is defined by a class diagram plus restricting OCL invariants
- This class diagram is called the 'UML Metamodel (MM)'
- UML was developed over the recent years by the OMG
- Various versions were published
 UML 1.1, UML 1.2, ..., UML 2.0, ..., UML 2.4, ...
 UML 2.4 is an important and well accepted version
- UML uses a different terminology (different class and association end names) than the motivating simple metamodel used above
- Attribute and AssociationEnd objects are commonly treated as Property objects; a Property object 'lives within' a class (then it is an attribute) or the Property object 'lives within' an association (then it is an association end); 'lives within' = composition / black diamond

Proper UML 2.4 Metamodel (more complicated)



Options through representing CDs with object models

- OCL expressions can be stated on the object diagram representing the class diagram
- USE version available that incorporates UML 2.4 MM and can represent a user class diagram as a UML 2.4 MM object diagram
- Which are the association end names of a given association?
- What are all the class names together with the classes associations end names?
- What are all the class names together with the classes attribute names?
- Which properties (attributes and association ends) are typed through which classes?
- Which properties are typed through Datatypes?
- Such OCL expressions can represent generally interesting features of a class diagram, independent of the particular considered class diagram

OCL expressions for example class diagram



Attributes in UML 2.4 MM

- The above object diagram (for Person-Job-Company) showed only the objects and links, but not the attributes
- Some details follow ...
- Attribute name (all classes) gives name in form of a String
- Lower and upper bounds of association ends are represented by the Integer attributes 'lower' and 'upper' (for Property); upper value '-1' represents '*'
- Attribute 'aggregation' (for Property) distinguishes between 'association', 'aggregation' and 'composition': #none, #shared, #composite (enumeration)
- Boolean attribute 'isAbstract' (for Class) specifies whether the class is abstract or not

Attributes in UML 2.4 MM



Central elements of UML 2.4 MM

- Much more classes and associations are part of the UML 2.4 MM than the ones that have been shown
- Some details follow ...
- Property < StructuralFeature < TypedElement
- Class < Classifier < Type
- Association: Type <u>role</u> [0..1] type – TypedElement <u>role</u> [0..*] typedElement

StringDataType:DataType<Type <u>role</u> type – Person_nameProperty:Property<TypedElement <u>role</u> typedElement

Central elements of UML 2.4 MM



subsets namespace, subsets redefinitionContext}

UML 2.4 MM: All classes and associations

- UML 2.4 MM available as a USE model
- 63 classes
- 99 associations
- 54 invariants
- 66 operations

UML 2.4 MM: All classes and associations



UML 2.4 MM CD complex – Building views gives overview

- Classes with more than one subclass
- Classes with more that one superclass (multiple inheritance used!)
- Classes being 'simple' specializations class c with 'c.sub->isEmpty and s.super->size=1'
- Classes involved in at least 2 generalizations
- At the top of the generalization hierarchy is 'Element'
- Subclasses realize particular functionality; examples follow ...
- NamedElement (with attribute 'name')
- MultiplicityElement (with attributes 'lower' and 'upper')
- TypedElement (with association typedElement type)

Classes with more that one subclass



Classes with more than one superclass



Classes being 'simple' specializations



Classes involved in two Generalizations



Manifestation of OMG Four Level through USE-MM



Class diagram

Person

Collect diagram

ada:Person

name='Ada'

Behavioral Metamodels

- UML MM mainly describes structural aspects
- Behavioral aspects can be handled in metamodels as well
- Example: State machines
- General three level metamodel
- Realization in USE
- Metamodels with more than three levels possible

Three level metamodeling



Nickel-Dime machine in USE



State machines in USE



Five level metamodeling



Metamodels: an alternative for language specification

- Usually languages in Computer Science are described with grammars together with an execution mechanism (operational evaluation)
- Metamodels present an alternative
- Syntax and semantics (execution) can be described
- Approach explained by means of a very simple programming language ProgLang
- Two examples
 - Factorial
 - Abstract example with all syntactical options
- Advantage of metamodels for language specification: common description technique (UML/MOF and OCL) for syntax and semantics (execution)

Metamodel for ProgLang Syntax and Semantics



Context-free Grammar for ProgLang

statement ::= id |

statement; statement |

IF id THEN statement END |

IF id THEN statement ELSE statement END |

WHILE id DO statement END |

REPEAT statement UNTIL id

- Production grammar \rightarrow New specialized class for Stmt
- Non-terminal statement on right side \rightarrow Black diamond to Stmt
- Keywords (IF, THEN, ...) become part of an operation unparseS()

Factorial in ProgLang



Factorial in ProgLang

- Left: syntax tree in form of an object diagram
- Utilizing UML composition is a natural way to build syntax trees; objects are connected to at most one aggregate; object diagrams with composition are acyclic
- Right: flow graphs in form of object diagram for 'compiled code' / execution
- Structuring control flow statements (if-then, if-then-else, while-do, repeat-until) have been represented by flows graphs
- Invariants (not shown) handle the connection between syntax and evaluation
- Operation unparseS() retrieves the source text from the syntax tree

Excursus: Composite pattern

- The composite pattern is a partitioning design pattern
- The composite pattern describes a group of objects that is treated the same way as a single instance of the same type of object
- The intent of a composite is to "compose" objects into tree structures to represent part-whole hierarchies
- Implementing the composite pattern lets clients treat individual objects and compositions uniformly
- The Client class does not refer to the Leaf and Composite classes directly (separately); instead, the Client refers to the common Component interface and can treat Leaf and Composite uniformly



Operation unparseS()

```
Stmt::unparseS():String = null
Basic::unparseS():String = self.value
Seq::unparseS():String = self.SeqStmt1.unparseS().concat('; ').
  concat(self.SeqStmt2.unparseS())
IfThen::unparseS():String =
  'IF '.concat(self.cond).concat(' THEN ').
  concat(self.IfStmt.unparseS()).concat(' END')
IfElse::unparseS():String =
  'IF '.concat(self.cond).concat(' THEN ').
  concat(self.IfElseStmt1.unparseS()).concat(' ELSE ').
  concat(self.IfElseStmt2.unparseS()).concat(' END')
While::unparseS():String =
  'WHILE '.concat(self.cond).concat(' DO ').
  concat(self.WhileStmt.unparseS()).concat(' END')
Repeat::unparseS():String =
  'REPEAT '.concat(self.RepeatStmt.unparseS()).
  concat(' UNTIL ').concat(self.cond)
```

Abstract example in ProgLang



Thanks for your attention!