Metamodeling with Metamodels

Using

UML/MOF including OCL
Introducing Metamodels (Wikipedia)

- A metamodel is a model of a model
- An instantiation of metamodel gives a model
- Metamodeling is the process of generating such metamodels
- Metamodeling is the analysis, construction and development of the frames, rules, constraints, models and theories applicable and useful for modeling a predefined class of problems
- Metamodeling applies the notions of *meta-* and *modeling* in software engineering and systems engineering
- Metamodels are of many types and have diverse applications
Contributions of Object Management Group (OMG)

- In software engineering, the use of models is an alternative to more common code-based development techniques.
- A model always conforms to a unique metamodel.
- One of the currently most active branches of Model Driven Engineering is the approach named Model-Driven Architecture (MDA) proposed by OMG (Object Management Group).
- MDA utilizes the language Meta Object Facility (MOF) to write metamodels.
- MOF roughly corresponds to the class diagram part of UML including OCL constraints.
- Typical metamodels proposed by OMG are UML, OCL, SysML (Systems Modeling Language), or CWM (Common Warehouse Metamodel).
- Such languages can be defined as MOF metamodels, i.e., models formulated with MOF.
## Four Level OMG Model-Driven Architecture (MDA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>meta-metamodel</td>
<td>The infrastructure for a metamodeling architecture. Defines the language for specifying metamodels.</td>
<td><em>MetaClass</em>, <em>MetaAttribute</em>, <em>MetaOperation</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3 / MOF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>metamodel</td>
<td>An instance of a metamodel. Defines the language for specifying a model.</td>
<td><em>Class</em>, <em>Attribute</em>, <em>Operation</em>, <em>Component</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2 / UML</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model</td>
<td>An instance of a metamodel. Defines a language to describe an information domain.</td>
<td><em>StockShare</em>, <em>askPrice</em>, <em>sellLimitOrder</em>, <em>StockQuoteServer</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1 / User model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>user objects (user data)</td>
<td>An instance of a model. Defines a specific information domain.</td>
<td><em>&lt;Acme_SW_Share_98789&gt;, 654.56, sell_limit_order</em>, <em>&lt;Stock_Quote_Svr_32123&gt;</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Four Level OMG Model-Driven Architecture (MDA)
Class diagram understood as MM (MetaModel) object diagram

- Usual work with class diagrams
  - define first the class diagram
  - develop then various object diagrams
  - tune the class diagram to meet developer needs
- Approach within metamodeling
  consider the concepts appearing in a class diagram (class, attribute, association, …)
  describe these concepts and their relationships again with a class diagram
  if class diagrams are a powerful mechanism, why should one not describe class diagrams with class diagrams
Class diagram understood as MM (MetaModel) object diagram
MM Extension: Generalization, Association class

- First MM (4 classes) described classes, attributes, associations, and associations ends
- Consider now also further concepts: generalization between classes and association classes
- Apply invariants in order to achieve only valid class diagrams
- Attribute names with a class are unique
- Attribute name and association end names are different
- Generalization hierarchies are acyclic
- Optional: Exclude multiple inheritance
- Overall result: CD plus the stated invariants determine a set of valid objects diagrams; this set of object diagrams builds the defined (modeling) language
- THUS: Metamodeling is an approach for language development
MM Extension: Generalization, Association class

Class diagram:
- Generalization
  * sub
    - Class
      name: String
  * super
    - AssocEnd_Class
    - AssocEnd
      name: String
    - Assoc_AssocEnd
      2..* assocEnd
      1 assoc
      name: String

Object diagram:
- Attr
  name: String
- AssocClass

```
context c:Class inv attrNamesDifferent:
  c.attrC->forAll(a1,a2 | a1<>a2 implies a1.name<>a2.name)

context a:Assoc inv assocEndNamesDifferent:
  a.assocEnd->forAll(ae1,ae2 | ae1<>ae2 implies ae1.name<>ae2.name)

context c:Class inv attrNamesDifferentFromAssocEndNames:
  c.attrC.name->intersection(c.typedAssocEnd.name)->isEmpty()

context ac:AssocClass inv oneName:
  let c:Class=ac in let a:Assoc=ac in c.name=ac.name

context c:Class inv acyclicGeneralization:
  c.super->closure(super)->excludes(c)

context c:Class inv noMultipleInheritance:
  c.super->size<=1
```
Proper UML 2.4 Metamodel (more complicated)

- UML is defined by a class diagram plus restricting OCL invariants
- This class diagram is called the 'UML Metamodel (MM)'
- UML was developed over the recent years by the OMG
- Various versions were published
  UML 1.1, UML 1.2, …, UML 2.0, …, UML 2.4, ...
  UML 2.4 is an important and well accepted version
- UML uses a different terminology (different class and association end names) than the motivating simple metamodel used above
- Attribute and AssociationEnd objects are commonly treated as Property objects; a Property object 'lives within' a class (then it is an attribute) or the Property object 'lives within' an association (then it is an association end); 'lives within' = composition / black diamond
Proper UML 2.4 Metamodel (more complicated)
Options through representing CDs with object models

- OCL expressions can be stated on the object diagram representing the class diagram
- USE version available that incorporates UML 2.4 MM and can represent a user class diagram as a UML 2.4 MM object diagram
- Which are the association end names of a given association?
- What are all the class names together with the classes associations end names?
- What are all the class names together with the classes attribute names?
- Which properties (attributes and association ends) are typed through which classes?
- Which properties are typed through Datatypes?
- Such OCL expressions can represent generally interesting features of a class diagram, independent of the particular considered class diagram
OCL expressions for example class diagram

JobAssociation.ownedEnd
OrderedSet(Job_employeeProperty,Job_employerProperty) : OrderedSet(Property)

JobAssociation.ownedEnd.name
Sequence{'employee','employer'} : Sequence(String)

Class.allInstances->collect(c|Tuple{N:c.name,A:c.typedElement.oclAsType(Property).name})
Bag{Tuple{N='Person',A=Bag{'employee'}},Tuple{N='Company',A=Bag{'employer'}}} : Bag(Tuple{N:String,A:Bag(String)})

Class.allInstances->collect(c|Tuple{N:c.name,A:c.typedElement.oclAsType(Property).association.name})
Bag{Tuple{N='Company',A=Bag{'Job'}},Tuple{N='Person',A=Bag{'Job'}}} : Bag(Tuple{N:String,A:Bag(String)})

Class.allInstances->collect(c|Tuple{N:c.name,A:c.ownedAttribute.oclAsType(Property).name})
Bag{Tuple{N='Company',A=Sequence{'name'}},Tuple{N='Person',A=Sequence{'name'}}} : Bag(Tuple{N:String,A:Sequence(String)})

Class.allInstances.typedElement
Bag{Job_employeeProperty,Job_employerProperty} : Bag(Property)

DataType.allInstances.typedElement
Bag{Company_nameProperty,Person_nameProperty} : Bag(Property)
Attributes in UML 2.4 MM

- The above object diagram (for Person-Job-Company) showed only the objects and links, but not the attributes
- Some details follow ...
- Attribute name (all classes) gives name in form of a String
- Lower and upper bounds of association ends are represented by the Integer attributes 'lower' and 'upper' (for Property); upper value '-1' represents '*'
- Attribute 'aggregation' (for Property) distinguishes between 'association', 'aggregation' and 'composition': #none, #shared, #composite (enumeration)
- Boolean attribute 'isAbstract' (for Class) specifies whether the class is abstract or not
Attributes in UML 2.4 MM
Central elements of UML 2.4 MM

- Much more classes and associations are part of the UML 2.4 MM than the ones that have been shown
- Some details follow …
- Property < StructuralFeature < TypedElement
- Class < Classifier < Type
- Association:
  Type \textit{role} [0..1] type – TypedElement \textit{role} [0..*] typedElement
  StringDataType:Data\textit{type}<Type \textit{role} type –
  Person\_nameProperty:Property<TypedElement \textit{role} typedElement
Central elements of UML 2.4 MM
UML 2.4 MM: All classes and associations

- UML 2.4 MM available as a USE model
- 63 classes
- 99 associations
- 54 invariants
- 66 operations
UML 2.4 MM: All classes and associations
UML 2.4 MM CD complex – Building views gives overview

- Classes with more than one subclass
- Classes with more that one superclass (multiple inheritance used!)
- Classes being 'simple' specializations
  class c with 'c.sub->isEmpty and s.super->size=1'
- Classes involved in at least 2 generalizations

- At the top of the generalization hierarchy is 'Element'
- Subclasses realize particular functionality; examples follow ...
- NamedElement (with attribute 'name')
- MultiplicityElement (with attributes 'lower' and 'upper')
- TypedElement (with association typedElement - type)
Classes with more that one subclass
Classes with more than one superclass
Classes being 'simple' specializations
Classes involved in two Generalizations
Behavioral Metamodels

- UML MM mainly describes structural aspects
- Behavioral aspects can be handled in metamodels as well
- Example: State machines
- General three level metamodel
- Realization in USE
- Metamodels with more than three levels possible
Three level metamodeling
Nickel-Dime machine in USE
State machines in USE
Five level metamodeling

Metametamodel

Metamodel

Model

Model instantiation

Model instantiation instantiation

Class
- name
  - 1.0. role

Role
- name
  - 1.0. assoc

Assoc
- name

State
- 1.0. source
  - 0.0. transitS

Transition
- 1.0. target
  - 0.0. transitT

paid0
- dime
- nickel

paid10
- dime
- nickel

paid15
- dime

paid20
- nickel

paid25
- dime

paid[0]
  - rooseveltD

paid[10]
  - jeffersonN

paid[10;5]
  - mercuryD

paid[10;5;10]

Dime
Roosevelt Dime
Mercury Dime
Barber Dime
Nickel
Buffalo Nickel
Liberty Head Nickel
Metamodels: an alternative for language specification

- Usually languages in Computer Science are described with grammars together with an execution mechanism (operational evaluation)
- Metamodels present an alternative
- Syntax and semantics (execution) can be described
- Approach explained by means of a very simple programming language ProgLang
- Two examples
  - Factorial
  - Abstract example with all syntactical options
- Advantage of metamodels for language specification: common description technique (UML/MOF and OCL) for syntax and semantics (execution)
Metamodel for ProgLang Syntax and Semantics
Context-free Grammar for ProgLang

statement ::= id |
              statement; statement |
              IF id THEN statement END |
              IF id THEN statement ELSE statement END |
              WHILE id DO statement END |
              REPEAT statement UNTIL id

- Production grammar → New specialized class for Stmt
- Non-terminal statement on right side → Black diamond to Stmt
- Keywords (IF, THEN, …) become part of an operation unparses()
Factorial in ProgLang

- Left: syntax tree in form of an object diagram
- Utilizing UML composition is a natural way to build syntax trees; objects are connected to at most one aggregate; object diagrams with composition are acyclic
- Right: flow graphs in form of object diagram for 'compiled code' / execution
- Structuring control flow statements (if-then, if-then-else, while-do, repeat-until) have been represented by flows graphs
- Invariants (not shown) handle the connection between syntax and evaluation
- Operation unparseS() retrieves the source text from the syntax tree
Excursus: Composite pattern

- The composite pattern is a partitioning design pattern
- The composite pattern describes a group of objects that is treated the same way as a single instance of the same type of object
- The intent of a composite is to "compose" objects into tree structures to represent part-whole hierarchies
- Implementing the composite pattern lets clients treat individual objects and compositions uniformly
- The Client class does not refer to the Leaf and Composite classes directly (separately); instead, the Client refers to the common Component interface and can treat Leaf and Composite uniformly
Operation unparseS()

Stmt::unparseS():String = null

Basic::unparseS():String = self.value

Seq::unparseS():String = self.SeqStmt1.unparseS().concat('; ').concat(self.SeqStmt2.unparseS())

IfThen::unparseS():String = 'IF '.concat(self.cond).concat(' THEN ').concat(self.IfStmt.unparseS()).concat(' END')

IfElse::unparseS():String = 'IF '.concat(self.cond).concat(' THEN ').concat(self.IfElseStmt1.unparseS()).concat(' ELSE ').concat(self.IfElseStmt2.unparseS()).concat(' END')

While::unparseS():String = 'WHILE '.concat(self.cond).concat(' DO ').concat(self.WhileStmt.unparseS()).concat(' END')

Repeat::unparseS():String = 'REPEAT '.concat(self.RepeatStmt.unparseS()).concat(' UNTIL ').concat(self.cond)
Thanks for your attention!